# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

# **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

|             |          | Ror   | nald Uthe  | Case Number:   | CR 11-01939-PHX-DGC  |  |  |
|-------------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|             | ablished | :   | Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31                                  | 42(f), a detention hearing has   | s been held. I conclude that the following facts   |  |  |
|             | -        | by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |          | eponder<br>his case   | Э.   | · ·  | require the detention of the defendant pending   |  |  |
|             |          |   | PART   | I FINDINGS OF FACT   |  |  |  |
|             | (1)      | There   | is probable cause to believe tha                                 | t the defendant has committe   | ed   |  |  |
|             |          |   | an offense for which a maximu<br>801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46  | um term of imprisonment of to<br>U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.                          | en years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§   |  |  |
|             |          |   | an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§                                    | 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).  |  |  |  |
|             |          |   | an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. imprisonment of ten years or r    | § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal cri<br>nore is prescribed.                                 | mes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of  |  |  |
|             |          |   | an offense involving a minor vi                                  | ctim prescribed in   | .1   |  |  |
|             | (2)      | The de  | efendant has not rebutted the loons will reasonably assure the a | presumption established by appearance of the defendant                               | finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community. |  |  |
|             |          |   | ,  | Alternative Findings   |  |  |  |
|             | (1)      | There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | (2)      | No cor  | ndition or combination of condition                              | ons will reasonably assure th  | e safety of others and the community.  |  |  |
|             | (3)      | There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).         |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | (4)      |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | (1)      |   | (Che   | ATEMENT OF REASONS Fock one or both, as applicable.)  Dormation submitted at the hea | OR DETENTION  uring establish by clear and convincing evidence                             |  |  |
|             |          |   |  |  |  |  |  |

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

| (2)          |   |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|              | I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  |  |  |  |  |
|              | The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.   |  |  |  |  |
|              | The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.              |  |  |  |  |
|              | The defendant has a prior criminal history.   |  |  |  |  |
|              | There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. |  |  |  |  |
|              |   |  |  |  |  |
|              | The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of   |  |  |  |  |
| <del>-</del> |   |  |  |  |  |
|              | efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:  |  |  |  |  |
| Ine d        |   |  |  |  |  |
|              |   |  |  |  |  |
|              |   |  |  |  |  |

time of the hearing in this matter.

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>February 28, 2012</u>

Steven P. Logar United States Magistrate Judge